

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/649,827	08/29/2000	Edward A. Schrock	303.527US2	8668
21186 75	90 07/08/2002			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLI			GALLAGHER, JOHN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	17
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2002	<i>r t</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/649,827

Examiner

Art Unit

1733

SCHROCK et al



Advisory Action

- A - P

	John GALLAGHER	1733		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence addre	SS	
THE REPLY FILED <u>Jun 14, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average of the second o	oid the abandonment of this appli nely filed amendment which place	ication. A prop es the application	per reply to a final on in condition for	
·	REPLY [check only a) or b)]			
a) 🛛 The period for reply expires3 months from t	he mailing date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of t is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRE See MPEP 706.07(f).	for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS	from the mailing	date of the	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determing appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if a mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce the final rejection.	nining the period of extension and the com: (1) the expiration date of the short the checked. Any reply received by the Off	orresponding amo ened statutory pe fice later than thre	ount of the fee. The riod for reply originally see months after the	
A Notice of Appeal was filed on			riod set forth in	
$2. oxdit{oxtime}$. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:			
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	consideration and/or search (see	NOTE below);		
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	elow);			
(c) X they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by material	ly reducing or	simplifying the	
(d) \Box they present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of finally	y rejected claim	ıs.	
NOTE: <u>the methods now newly proposed for clain</u> <u>different embodiment than) those previous</u>				د
B. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec		, <u>-</u> , <u>-</u>		
				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the r		uld be allowable	e if submitted in	
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been con	sidered but doe	s NOT place the	
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which v	vere newly raised	
7. X For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims we			d and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•			
Claim(s) allowed: None				
Claim(s) objected to: None				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
3. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) \square approved or	b) 🗆 disapprove	ed by the Examiner.	
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	2		
O.M Other: See page 2 of this action.	JOHN I GALL)de// /	\

Application/Control Number: 09/649,827

Art Unit: 1733

It is reiterated (N_B. paragraph 8 of the Final Rejection) that the "electrical" and "electronic" (e.g. "chip") components employed by the respective patentees KING (and NOT CHING as mistakenly set forth) and BRADLEY encompass within their scope and definition semiconductor dice (the elements envisioned for use by applicants); further, the foregoing (ie parenthesized) terminology would fairly and clearly suggest this to those of ordinary skill in this art; still further regarding (and in support of) the foregoing, N_B. col. 1 lines 13-16 of the TSUKAGOSHI et al reference (copy attached as a part of this action), cited in accordance with the instructions and provisions of MPEP 2144.03.

J.J. Gallagher:jjg

05 July 2002

JOHN J. GALLAGHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1等1 / フ オ ゔ

Page 2